Facilities funded through the MSIF, in the context of the National Data Governance Framework

Installations financées par le FISM, dans le contexte du cadre de gouvernance des données scientifiques canadiennes



David Castle Moderator

Chair of the **SuperDARN** National Advisory Committee Natalie Harrower Executive Director CRDCN





Benoît Pirenne, Chief Innovation and Technology Officer ONC

National Data Governance Framework Advisory Committee

2025 CFI Major Science Initiatives Fund Workshop

David Castle

February 5_2025



Office of the Chief Science Advisor of Canada

*

Bureau du conseiller scientifique en chef du Canada

Roadmap for Open Science (Feb 2020)



5. Federal departments and agencies should develop strategies and tools to implement FAIR data principles to ensure interoperability of scientific and research data* and metadata standards by January 2023, with a phased plan for full implementation by January 2025.



3

Q

Advisory Committee on Canadian Scientific Data Governance

At the end of 2023, the Chief Science Advisor of Canada assembled a committee of experts to advise her on the development of a scientific data governance framework as part of a coherent open science approach for Canada.

In February 2020, the Office of the Chief Science Advisor (OCSA) released the <u>Roadmap for Open Science</u>. The Roadmap has contributed to stimulating and coordinating the federal science community's progress towards open science, with results that include the development of departmental action plans, guidance on implementing open-by-default, and creating a federal open science repository.

The Roadmap (in <u>Recommendation 9</u>) also led to considerations of open science for federally funded, extramural research, especially matters pertaining to open access publications, article processing charges, supports to researchers, and transformative agreements with publishers. These topics were considered in round tables completed in December 2021 and published in the 2022 report, "The Open Science Dialogues: Summary of Stakeholders' Round Tables".

As intended in the Roadmap, after attention was put on advancing open access publishing, attention is now moving to data, with a special focus on the implementation of FAIR principles to maximize the benefits of openness (Recommendation 5).

Canada's progress on developing a coherent national approach to scientific data urgently needs exploration. A fully functioning scientific data ecosystem is a requirement for Canadian researchers to attract and maintain global collaborations and international research funding, for example, Horizon Europe projects. Canada risks falling behind in open science implementation and being less competitive as a result.

Committee Members

Amy Buckland (co-chair)

University Librarian Concordia University **David Castle (co-chair)** Professor, University of Victoria Researcher in Residence, OCSA

Mark Daley

Chief AI Officer and Professor Western University

Monica Granados

Assistant Director, Open Climate Creative Commons

Natalie Harrower

Executive Director, Canadian Research Data Centre Network **Kevin Kasa**

MASc student University of Guelph and Vector Institute

Lee Wilson (replaces Mark Leggott)

Director of Research Data Management Digital Research Alliance of Canada Kim McGrail

Professor, School of Population and Public Health, UBC Benoit Pirenne

Director, user engagement Ocean Networks Canada

Eric Rancourt

Assistant Chief Statistician and Chief Data Officer, Statistics Canada

Sujeevan Ratnasingham

Director, Informatics Centre for biodiversity genomics **Kathleen Shearer** Executive Director, Confederation of Open Access Repositories

Reda Tafirout

4

Senior Research Scientist and Department Head for Scientific Computing, TRIUMF **Bo Wandschneider (retired)** Chief Information Officer University of Toronto **Peter Wilenius (retired)** Vice-President, Cybersecurity Programs CANARIE

Data types and domains

Office of the Chief Science Advisor and Library and Archives Canada:

- regulatory or other government science activities;
- legacy data, objects and documents; and
- academic research

Scientific data types: real-world data; established knowledge; and experimental data

Scientific data domains (spaces): data production in well-bounded domains characterized by subject matter and communities of practice.

Timeline



Why care about data?

- Science, technology and innovation (STI) are critical for Canadians' collective wealth, well-being, and security
- Data governance improves STI performance in a digital world

- Digitalization of science science data production and use are changing rapidly
- FAIR data standards are key for AI to leverage data to create value
- National security data governance is necessary ensure valuable information and Canada's interests are protected

What is limiting our success?

- Fragmentation across data domains
- Sector and disciplinary silos
- Lacking or not enforcing consistent principles and standards

- Low national and international interoperability
- Suboptimal prioritization of scarce resources
- Workforce capacity and skills are difficult to assess
- Need for security enhancements

Report - Table of Contents

Forward from CSA

Word from Co-chairs

Executive Summary

Members of the Advisory Committee on Data Governance

Preamble

1. Introduction

2. Structural coherence: addressing organization fragmentation, critical mass, roles and

<u>responsibilities</u>

Clustering around data domains

Canadian focal point

Key roles at the Canadian level

<u>3. Towards Shared Standards: Addressing practice fragmentation: interoperability, data quality, use</u>

across domains, and security

Domain-level practice leadership

Shared high-level principles for data stewardship

Common tools and metrics

Digital security

4. Path Forward

Focal Point initiation

Roadmap Implementation and Engagement

Focal Ppint Accountability and Coordinating Mechanism

5. Conclusion

National Data Governance Framework

- Recognize data as a national asset
- Systemwide comprehensive approach for both the primary data collection process and the secondary consolidation and reuse

- Complementary with existing data governance initiatives and practices
- Supports existing organizations' efforts
- Promotes the aims of open science
- Framework lays the groundwork for the evolution of a roadmap, consultation processes, organizational strategies or action plans

Summary of principles

Principle 1 – Data Centricity Principle 2 – Data Domains Principle 3 – Focal Point Principle 4 – Organizational Mandates

Principle 5 – Data Domain Leadership Principle 6 – Data Stewardship Principles Principle 7 – Common Tools and Metrics Principle 8 – Security Organizational Coherence

Practice Coherence

Summary of principles

Principle 1 – Data Centricity – Data is its own entity and can be followed through lifecycle models and value chains. A data-centricity establishes data as the throughline for interpreting how data governance framework variables can be configured to achieve best system outcomes.

- Principle 2 Data Domains Identified and organized by subject matter and communities of practice involving data producers and users, should cluster as much as possible under shared governance to benefit from the mutualisation of resources and expertise, and foster practice coherence within data domains.
 Principle 3 Focal Point A Focal Point for data governance should be created to foster coherence across data domains and related organizations united by a common vision of the value of data to Canada.
- **Principle 4 Organizational Mandates** Funding roles and other system-level responsibilities should be clear and aligned with respective organisation mandates. Together system-level actors should foster strong incentives for data producers and users to operate within the proposed framework logic

Summary of principles

Principle 5 – Data Domain Leadership – Domains should lead on the evolution of practices, working towards the development of standards, workforce capacity and skills to address with practice fragmentation within each data domain.

Principle 6 – Data Stewardship Principles – A commitment to data stewardship and consensus on minimal practice and performance standards should underpin national data governance.

Principle 7 – Common Tools and Metrics – Data domains and related organizations should establish, through the Focal Point, which tools and metrics are necessary enable practical aspects of data governance.

Principle 8 – Security – Data is a national asset for Canada and requires securitization commensurate with the significant investment in data production and broad social reliance on data for continued national prosperity.

Vignettes of Data Domains

- Polar Data Search (WDS ITO)
- Pan-Canadian Health Data Strategy
- Canadian Astronomy Data Centre
- Barcode of Life Database (BOLD) and mBRAVE
- Ocean data: ORCA; CIOOS; ONC Oceans 3.0
- Cultural heritage data: CRKN, Scholars Portal, CHIN, NIKLA, LAC

Possible Focal Point structure

DARE Focal Point

dare, L. 'to give' datum, L. 'thing given'



- Two year process
- Convene the two fora
 - Organizations are easier to assemble than data domains (criteria?)
- Promulgation of the principles-based narrative on governance
 - · 'trusted research environments' for data
 - FAIR implementation profiles (FIPs)
 - Machine actionable; Al-ready
- Advance the mission of creating 'data infrastructures'
 - Domain-responsive and generic services
 - Workforce capacity and skills
- Reorientation of MSIs/MRFs to a data-centric governance model

Summary of principles

Principle 1 – Data Centricity Principle 2 – Data Domains Principle 3 – Focal Point Principle 4 – Organizational Mandates

Principle 5 – Data Domain Leadership Principle 6 – Data Stewardship Principles Principle 7 – Common Tools and Metrics Principle 8 – Security Organizational Coherence

Practice Coherence